

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

PERFEKT MARKETING, LLC,

Case No. 2:15-CV-717 JCM (PAL)

Plaintiff(s),

ORDER

V.

LUXURY VACATION DEALS, LLC, et al.,

Defendant(s).

13 Presently before the court are the report and recommendation of Magistrate Judge Leen.
14 (Doc. # 37). No objections were filed, and the deadline for filing objections has passed.

15 Magistrate Judge Leen recommended that plaintiff's motion for disbursement of funds
16 (doc. # 33) be granted and that the case be dismissed as there is no longer a case or controversy
17 for the court to adjudicate.

18 This court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or
19 recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party timely objects
20 to a magistrate judge’s **findings and recommendation**, then the court is required to “make a de
21 novo determination of those portions of the [report and recommendation] to which objection is
22 made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

23 Where a party fails to object, however, the court is not required to conduct “any review at
24 all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149
25 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a
26 magistrate judge’s report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See United*
27 *States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard of review
28 employed by the district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no

1 objections were made); *see also Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F.Supp.2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003)
2 (reading the Ninth Circuit's decision in *Reyna-Tapia* as adopting the view that district courts are
3 not required to review "any issue that is not the subject of an objection."). Thus, if there is no
4 objection to a magistrate judge's recommendation, then this court may accept the recommendation
5 without review. *See, e.g., Johnstone*, 263 F. Supp. 2d at 1226 (accepting, without review, a
6 magistrate judge's recommendation to which no objection was filed).

7 Nevertheless, this court finds it appropriate to engage in a *de novo* review to determine
8 whether to adopt the recommendation of the magistrate judge. Upon reviewing the
9 recommendation and underlying briefs, this court finds good cause to ADOPT the magistrate
10 judge's findings in full.

11 Accordingly,

12 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the report and
13 recommendation of Magistrate Judge Leen, (doc. # 37), are ADOPTED in their entirety.

14 DATED February 5, 2016.

15 
16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28